



---

*“Most people say that it is the intellect which makes a great scientist. They are wrong: it is character.” Albert Einstein*

## **Guidelines for Einstein Supernova Award Applications**

The Dr. Albert Einstein Supernova Award is the only STEM award that must be conferred by the National Council, Boy Scouts of America. This document contains:

- Award requirements
- Mentoring notes
- Submission process
- Review criteria

To maintain the integrity and prestige of this award, the highest standards of excellence must be applied throughout the process, and at all stages of review.

### **Dr. Albert Einstein Supernova Award Requirements**

---

#### **Gold Level Supernova Award for Venturers**

1. Earn either the Thomas Edison Supernova Award while a registered Boy Scout or the Wright Brothers Supernova Award while a registered Venturer.
2. Complete FOUR additional Supernova activity topics, one from each of the four different STEM areas. (Note: The intent is that upon completion of the Dr. Albert Einstein Supernova Award, the Venturer will have completed two Supernova activity topics in each of the four STEM areas for a total of eight.)
3. Create and propose a new Nova Awards topic for any program (Cub Scout, Webelos Scout, Boy Scout, or Venturing) comparable to the existing Nova Awards topics at that program level. Prepare a written outline for this proposed Nova Awards topic and submit it to your mentor.
4. With guidance from your mentor, select a current STEM-related concern and develop a research project or experiment related to that issue. This research project or experiment should be challenging and should require a significant investment of time and effort on your part. (A guideline would be approximately 100 hours.) If your mentor is not a specialist in the area of your project or experiment, he or she will request assistance from a specialist who will serve as a STEM consultant. Execute the project or experiment, and then prepare a complete and well-documented written report AND an oral presentation. Present both reports to your mentor and to your local council Nova committee.
5. Submit an application to the national Einstein Supernova Committee for approval.

### **Mentoring Notes**

---

Candidates for the Dr. Albert Einstein Supernova Award must work under the guidance of an approved mentor who is not their parent/guardian but who is a STEM professional or person qualified in a STEM field. The mentor must be approved by the council's STEM/Nova committee in advance, complete BSA Youth Protection training, and be registered with the council as a Supernova mentor.

The council is encouraged to provide guidance, training, and certification to qualified advisors. The role of the mentor is to guide the candidate when selecting significant STEM research projects and to coach the youth into preparing, researching, consulting others, designing, planning, and carrying out the research. The mentor must approve the application, verifying that the candidate's activities have been monitored and reviewed, and ensuring that the projects were of high quality.

## Submission Process

---

All Einstein Supernova Awards must be approved first by the local council STEM/Nova committee (or Advancement committee if the council has no STEM/Nova committee). This committee should be composed of knowledgeable professionals who are active in STEM fields. The candidate will not only provide written copies of all activities, reports, proposed new Nova awards topic, and other work, but will also deliver an oral presentation on their research project to this committee in a formal setting (scheduled well in advance, with appropriate visual aids, including time for questions from the audience, and so on).

After the council has determined that all of the requirements were met, the entire application package is forwarded to the National Einstein Supernova Award Committee at [myscouting@scouting.org](mailto:myscouting@scouting.org) for additional review.

Both the council and the national committees will evaluate the work relative to the candidate's age, experience, and to the accomplishments of others in the community. Consideration should be given for how much the candidate actually contributed to the research project or experimental effort, and the extent to which the candidate has learned from that experience. (See the following section for detailed review criteria that should be used at all levels.)

The National Einstein Supernova Committee meets on an as-needed basis. Each application will be reviewed as quickly as possible, normally within four weeks of being received by the committee. While all work must be completed and approvals obtained prior to the candidate's 21st birthday, applications will be accepted for review up to three months beyond that date. The candidate and the local council will be notified as soon as possible of the national committee's decision.

## Review Criteria

---

The application, with all supporting documentation, is the primary basis upon which decisions are made. The following checklist will be used by both council and national committees when reviewing applications. The list may also be used by candidates to help in preparing their applications.

In addition to the application form, the candidate must submit complete documentation of all requirements, including:

- Date that the Thomas Edison Supernova Award or Wright Brothers Supernova Award was earned
- Worksheets or reports on all activities

- Proposed new Nova Awards topic, in the same format and style as existing Nova Awards
- Written report on the research project or experiment, including:
  - Description of origin of project idea
  - Background science and hypothesis
  - Where work was conducted, and under whose supervision
  - Methods used
  - Results and conclusions
  - Future work resulting from this project or experiment
  - Supporting materials (photographs, news articles, letters of appreciation, sketches, and copies of lab notebooks)
  - Total number of hours spent on this project or experiment
- Date of oral presentation to the Council STEM/Nova committee.
- If the candidate wishes, he or she may submit a short video of not more than five minutes in length (generally 10MB or less in a common format such as MP4) that describes their application, research project, career plans, etc. A video of the presentation to the award committee may also be submitted.

Consideration will be given to a neat, concise, organized package. The candidate should give special care to the appearance of the application and the thoroughness and correctness of all information provided. Missing or inaccurate information may delay review of the application. All effort will be made to protect and return original supplemental materials. The original application, however, will not be returned. Candidates and councils should keep copies.

Applications will be evaluated according to the following criteria.

### **Procedural**

---

- Was the candidate a registered Venturer during the time the work was done?
- Were all requirements completed before the candidate's 21st birthday?
- Has the candidate completely met all of the requirements for the Einstein Supernova Award, as evidenced by the documentation provided?
- Was the mentor not the candidate's parent, guardian, or unit leader?
- Was the mentor approved in advance by the local council?
- Did the candidate submit a complete application form?
- Were all required signatures obtained?

### **New Nova**

---

- Did the candidate complete a draft Nova module for Cub Scouts, Webelos, Boy Scouts, or Venturers?
- Does the draft fit the template for Nova modules?
- Is the module innovative? Is it original?
- Are the requirements appropriate for the level chosen?

## Research Project or Experiment

---

- Based on the documentation provided, has the candidate completed a substantial STEM research project or significant experiment?
- Was the time spent on the project indicated, and was it at least 100 hours?
- Did the candidate document the project thoroughly?
- Was information included on reasons for choosing this project, scientific background, hypothesis, materials and methods, results and conclusions, future work, references, coworkers, and supervisors?
- Were the results of the work published or presented in any scientific forum? By whom?
- Does the research demonstrate excellence and meet the spirit of the award?
- Is the research clearly a significant undertaking and accomplishment for the candidate?
- Did the candidate prepare a thorough written report?
- Did the candidate present a polished oral report to the appropriate committee, with adequate visual aids?